Relaxation approximation and asymptotic stability of stratified solutions to the Incompressible Porous Media equation

Timothée Crin-Barat

Chair of Dynamics, Control and Numerics Department of Data Science, FAU, Erlangen, Germany

Mathflows 5 December 2022

Joint work with Roberta Bianchini and Marius Paicu

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

The two-dimensional Incompressible Porous Media (IPM) system is the active scalar equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{u} = -\kappa \nabla P + \mathbf{g}\rho, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{0}, -g)^T, \quad \text{(Darcy law)} \quad (\mathsf{IPM}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

It models the dynamics of a fluid of density  $\rho = \rho(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  through a porous medium according to the Darcy law.

The constants  $\kappa > 0$  and g > 0 are the permeability coefficient and the gravity acceleration respectively, which hereafter are assumed to be  $\kappa = g = 1$ .

イロト 不同 トイヨト イヨト

= na0

The two-dimensional Incompressible Porous Media (IPM) system is the active scalar equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \\ \mathbf{u} = -\kappa \nabla P + \mathbf{g}\rho, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (0, -g)^T, \quad \text{(Darcy law)} \quad (\mathsf{IPM}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It models the dynamics of a fluid of density  $\rho = \rho(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  through a porous medium according to the Darcy law.

The constants  $\kappa > 0$  and g > 0 are the permeability coefficient and the gravity acceleration respectively, which hereafter are assumed to be  $\kappa = g = 1$ .

• Application: transport of a dissolved contaminant in porous media where the contaminant is convected with the subsurface water. For instance, one could be interested in the time taken by the pollutant to reach the water table below.

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

The two-dimensional Incompressible Porous Media (IPM) system is the active scalar equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \\ \mathbf{u} = -\kappa \nabla P + \mathbf{g}\rho, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (0, -g)^T, \quad \text{(Darcy law)} \quad (\mathsf{IPM}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It models the dynamics of a fluid of density  $\rho = \rho(t, x, y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  through a porous medium according to the Darcy law.

The constants  $\kappa > 0$  and g > 0 are the permeability coefficient and the gravity acceleration respectively, which hereafter are assumed to be  $\kappa = g = 1$ .

- Application: transport of a dissolved contaminant in porous media where the contaminant is convected with the subsurface water. For instance, one could be interested in the time taken by the pollutant to reach the water table below.
- Mathematical motivation: Less regular than 2D Euler.

(日) (周) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

The incompressibility condition together with Darcy's law implies that

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} \rho = (\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2} \rho, -\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} \rho)$$

where  $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$  is the two-dimensional homogeneous Riesz transform of order 0:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_x, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_y.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

The incompressibility condition together with Darcy's law implies that

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} \rho = (\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2} \rho, -\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} \rho)$$

where  $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$  is the two-dimensional homogeneous Riesz transform of order 0:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_x, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_y.$$

• Thus, the equation reads:

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0.$$
 (IPM)

The incompressibility condition together with Darcy's law implies that

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} \rho = (\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2} \rho, -\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} \rho)$$

where  $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$  is the two-dimensional homogeneous Riesz transform of order 0:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_x, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_y.$$

• Thus, the equation reads:

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0.$$
 (IPM)

- For this system, Córdoba, Gancedo and Orive (07') proved the local well-posedness in Hölder space  $C^{\delta}$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  by the particle-trajectory method.
- What about global-in-time solutions close to equilibrium?

The incompressibility condition together with Darcy's law implies that

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} \rho = (\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2} \rho, -\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} \rho)$$

where  $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$  is the two-dimensional homogeneous Riesz transform of order 0:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_x, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_y.$$

• Thus, the equation reads:

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0.$$
 (IPM)

- For this system, Córdoba, Gancedo and Orive (07') proved the local well-posedness in Hölder space  $C^{\delta}$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  by the particle-trajectory method.
- What about global-in-time solutions close to equilibrium?
- Due to the form of the velocity u = ∇<sup>⊥</sup>(−Δ)<sup>-1</sup>∂<sub>x</sub>ρ, all the steady states of (IPM) are stratified: constant in x.

The incompressibility condition together with Darcy's law implies that

$$\mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} \rho = (\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{2} \rho, -\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} \rho)$$

where  $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$  is the two-dimensional homogeneous Riesz transform of order 0:

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_x, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \partial_y.$$

• Thus, the equation reads:

$$\partial_t \rho + (\mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho = 0.$$
 (IPM)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ つへぐ

- For this system, Córdoba, Gancedo and Orive (07') proved the local well-posedness in Hölder space  $C^{\delta}$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  by the particle-trajectory method.
- What about global-in-time solutions close to equilibrium?
- Due to the form of the velocity u = ∇<sup>⊥</sup>(−Δ)<sup>-1</sup>∂<sub>x</sub>ρ, all the steady states of (IPM) are stratified: constant in x.
- Among these steady states  $\bar{\rho}_{eq} = g(y)$ , there are only some for which one can hope to stabilise the system around. Here we focus on the linear and stable ones:

$$\bar{
ho}_{eq}(y) = 
ho_0 - y$$

where  $\rho_0 > 0$  is a constant averaged density.

# Stability by stratification

Crin-Barat Timothée Stratified solutions

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Such states are expected to be stable since p

 <sup>'</sup><sub>eq</sub>(y) < 0 implies that the density of the fluid is proportional to the depth i.e. the density of the fluid increases the deeper you go.</li>

= nar

- Such states are expected to be stable since p

   <sup>'</sup><sub>eq</sub>(y) < 0 implies that the density of the fluid is proportional to the depth i.e. the density of the fluid increases the deeper you go.</li>
- Linearizing (IPM) around  $\bar{\rho}_{eq}(y) = \rho_0 y$ , one obtains

$$\partial_t \widetilde{\rho} - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho} = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\rho}, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\rho}.$$
 (IPM-diss)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

And, as we shall see, the linear operator  $-\mathcal{R}_1^2$  will provide crucial information to justify global well-posedness results.

- Such states are expected to be stable since p

   <sup>'</sup><sub>eq</sub>(y) < 0 implies that the density of the fluid is proportional to the depth i.e. the density of the fluid increases the deeper you go.</li>
- Linearizing (IPM) around  $\bar{\rho}_{eq}(y) = \rho_0 y$ , one obtains

$$\partial_t \widetilde{\rho} - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho} = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\rho}, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\rho}.$$
 (IPM-diss)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

And, as we shall see, the linear operator  $-\mathcal{R}_1^2$  will provide crucial information to justify global well-posedness results.

• In the opposite scenario: if the density is inversely proportional to the depth, then one would recover the opposite sign in front of  $\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho$  for which one may not retrieve time-decay information.

- Such states are expected to be stable since p

   <sup>−</sup><sub>eq</sub>(y) < 0 implies that the density of the fluid is proportional to the depth i.e. the density of the fluid increases the deeper you go.</li>
- Linearizing (IPM) around  $\bar{\rho}_{eq}(y) = \rho_0 y$ , one obtains

$$\partial_t \widetilde{\rho} - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho} = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\rho}, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\rho}.$$
 (IPM-diss)

イロン 不同 とくほう イヨン しほう

And, as we shall see, the linear operator  $-\mathcal{R}_1^2$  will provide crucial information to justify global well-posedness results.

- In the opposite scenario: if the density is inversely proportional to the depth, then one would recover the opposite sign in front of  $\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho$  for which one may not retrieve time-decay information.
- This should lead to instability as it can be related to the Rayleigh-Bénard convection instability occurring even in the presence of diffusion.

- Such states are expected to be stable since p

   <sup>'</sup><sub>eq</sub>(y) < 0 implies that the density of the fluid is proportional to the depth i.e. the density of the fluid increases the deeper you go.</li>
- Linearizing (IPM) around  $\bar{\rho}_{eq}(y) = \rho_0 y$ , one obtains

$$\partial_t \widetilde{\rho} - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho} = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\rho}, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{\rho}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\rho}.$$
 (IPM-diss)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

And, as we shall see, the linear operator  $-\mathcal{R}_1^2$  will provide crucial information to justify global well-posedness results.

- In the opposite scenario: if the density is inversely proportional to the depth, then one would recover the opposite sign in front of  $\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho$  for which one may not retrieve time-decay information.
- This should lead to instability as it can be related to the Rayleigh-Bénard convection instability occurring even in the presence of diffusion.
- To sum-up: here, in a sense, we will rely on the fact that the stratification inherent in the model serves as a stabilising mechanism to derive global-in-time results.

- We refer to the work of Elgindi (17') about the justification of asymptotic stability of (IPM) in the whole space ℝ<sup>2</sup> for initial data in H<sup>20</sup>(ℝ<sup>2</sup>).
- The analogous result in the periodic finite channel in H<sup>10</sup>(T × [-π, π]) is due to Castro, Córdoba and Lear (19').
- Small scale formation and asymptotic instability results in the Torus and bounded strip by Kiselev and Yao ('22).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

- We refer to the work of Elgindi (17') about the justification of asymptotic stability of (IPM) in the whole space ℝ<sup>2</sup> for initial data in H<sup>20</sup>(ℝ<sup>2</sup>).
- The analogous result in the periodic finite channel in H<sup>10</sup>(T × [-π, π]) is due to Castro, Córdoba and Lear (19').
- Small scale formation and asymptotic instability results in the Torus and bounded strip by Kiselev and Yao ('22).

Our contributions are:

- The asymptotic stability of (IPM) in  $\dot{H}^{1-}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with s > 3.
- A new relaxation approximation of (IPM) by the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with damped velocity.
- And, as a byproduct of the above two results, an existence result for the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with damped velocity.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

#### Theorem (Bianchini-CB-Paicu 2022)

Let  $0 < \tau < 1$  and  $s \ge 3 + \tau$ . For any initial datum  $\rho_{in} \in \dot{H}^{1-\tau}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there exists a constant value  $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$  such that, under the assumption

$$\|\rho_{\mathrm{in}} - \bar{\rho}_{eq}\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\tau} \cap \dot{H}^s} \le \delta_0,$$

there exists a unique global-in-time smooth solution  $\tilde{\rho}$  to system (IPM-diss) satisfying the following inequality for all times t > 0

$$\|\widetilde{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(\dot{H}^{1-\tau}\cap\dot{H}^{s})} + \|\mathcal{R}_{1}\widetilde{\rho}\|_{L^{2}_{T}(\dot{H}^{1-\tau}\cap\dot{H}^{s})} + \|\nabla\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\widetilde{\rho}\|_{L^{1}_{T}(L^{\infty})} \lesssim \|\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathrm{in}}\|_{\dot{H}^{1-\tau}\cap\dot{H}^{s}}$$

where  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho - \bar{\rho}_{eq}$ .

Recall that the equation we are interested in reads:

$$\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho.$$

To justify the global-in-time existence of this equation, one way is to recover the following bound

$$\int_0^t \|(\nabla \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \rho, \nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho)\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

э.

Recall that the equation we are interested in reads:

$$\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho.$$

To justify the global-in-time existence of this equation, one way is to recover the following bound

$$\int_0^t \| (
abla \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 
ho, 
abla \mathcal{R}_1^2 
ho) \|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

• But how can one retrieve such bound?

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э.

Recall that the equation we are interested in reads:

$$\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = (\mathcal{R}_2 \mathcal{R}_1 \rho, -\mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho.$$

To justify the global-in-time existence of this equation, one way is to recover the following bound

$$\int_0^t \| (
abla \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 
ho, 
abla \mathcal{R}_1^2 
ho) \|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

• But how can one retrieve such bound?

Let us investigate the toy-model:

$$\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0. \tag{1}$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

In a Sobolev framework, performing standard energy estimates leads to, for any  $s \in \mathbb{R},$ 

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(H^{s})} + \|\mathcal{R}_{1}\rho\|_{L^{2}_{T}(H^{s})} \le \|\rho_{in}\|_{H^{s}}$$
(2)

Issue: this only gives a  $L^2$ -in-time bound that is not enough to control the advection term (except if one assumes  $s \ge 20$ ).

# Anisotropic Besov spaces

・日・ ・ヨ・ ・ヨ・

æ

### Anisotropic Besov approach

To derive additional properties from  $\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0$ , we will use Littlewood-Paley decompositions adapted to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  whose symbol is  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|}$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

#### Anisotropic Besov approach

To derive additional properties from  $\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0$ , we will use Littlewood-Paley decompositions adapted to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  whose symbol is  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|}$ . We introduce the following anisotropic Littlewood-Paley decompositions: for  $j, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote

- Δ<sub>j</sub> the blocks associated to the direction |ξ|;
- $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  the blocks associated to the direction  $\xi_1$ ,

and we define the following homogeneous anisotropic Besov semi-norms:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}} \triangleq \left\| 2^{js_1} 2^{qs_2} \| \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\|_{\ell^1(j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z})}$$

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト・

э.

#### Anisotropic Besov approach

To derive additional properties from  $\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0$ , we will use Littlewood-Paley decompositions adapted to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  whose symbol is  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|}$ . We introduce the following anisotropic Littlewood-Paley decompositions: for

- $j,q\in\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote
  - Δ<sub>j</sub> the blocks associated to the direction |ξ|;
  - $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  the blocks associated to the direction  $\xi_1$ ,

and we define the following homogeneous anisotropic Besov semi-norms:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}} \triangleq \left\| 2^{js_1} 2^{qs_2} \| \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\|_{\ell^1(j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z})}$$

• Recall that  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  localises the support of the Fourier transform of a distribution in an annulus and  $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises it in a stripe. Therefore  $\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises in the intersection of an annulus and a stripe.

To derive additional properties from  $\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0$ , we will use Littlewood-Paley decompositions adapted to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  whose symbol is  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|}$ . We introduce the following anisotropic Littlewood-Paley decompositions: for  $j, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote

- $\dot{\Delta}_j$  the blocks associated to the direction  $|\xi|$ ;
- $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  the blocks associated to the direction  $\xi_1$ ,

and we define the following homogeneous anisotropic Besov semi-norms:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}} \triangleq \left\| 2^{js_1} 2^{qs_2} \| \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\|_{\ell^1(j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z})}$$

• Recall that  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  localises the support of the Fourier transform of a distribution in an annulus and  $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises it in a stripe. Therefore  $\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises in the intersection of an annulus and a stripe.

• Main interest: Bernstein properties are available in both directions  $|\xi|$  and  $\xi_1$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

To derive additional properties from  $\partial_t \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho = 0$ , we will use Littlewood-Paley decompositions adapted to  $\mathcal{R}_1$  whose symbol is  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|}$ . We introduce the following anisotropic Littlewood-Paley decompositions: for  $j, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote

- $\dot{\Delta}_j$  the blocks associated to the direction  $|\xi|$ ;
- $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  the blocks associated to the direction  $\xi_1$ ,

and we define the following homogeneous anisotropic Besov semi-norms:

 $\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}} \triangleq \left\| 2^{js_1} 2^{qs_2} \| \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\|_{\ell^1(j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z})}.$ 

• Recall that  $\dot{\Delta}_j$  localises the support of the Fourier transform of a distribution in an annulus and  $\dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises it in a stripe. Therefore  $\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h$  localises in the intersection of an annulus and a stripe.

• Main interest: Bernstein properties are available in both directions  $|\xi|$  and  $\xi_1$ .

• Such spaces have been used in the past by Chemin, Paicu, Zhang, Xin et al., for instance in the context of the anisotropic Navier-Stokes system and the MHD system.

$$\partial_t \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho = 0.$$

Standard energy estimates yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\mathcal{R}_{1}\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

= 990

$$\partial_t \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho = 0.$$

Standard energy estimates yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\mathcal{R}_{1}\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

And thus, employing Bernstein's lemma,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2^{-2j}2^{2q}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

э.

$$\partial_t \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho = 0.$$

Standard energy estimates yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\mathcal{R}_{1}\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

And thus, employing Bernstein's lemma,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2^{-2j}2^{2q}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

Now, one can apply Gronwall-like inequality to "simplify the squares":

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_j\dot{\Delta}_q^h
ho(t)\|_{L^2}+2^{-2j}2^{2q}\int_0^t\|\dot{\Delta}_j\dot{\Delta}_q^h
ho\|_{L^2}\leq\|\dot{\Delta}_j\dot{\Delta}_q^h
ho_{in}\|_{L^2}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

$$\partial_t \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q^h \rho = 0.$$

Standard energy estimates yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\mathcal{R}_{1}\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

And thus, employing Bernstein's lemma,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2^{-2j}2^{2q}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}\rho\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=0$$

Now, one can apply Gronwall-like inequality to "simplify the squares":

$$\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}
ho(t)\|_{L^{2}}+2^{-2j}2^{2q}\int_{0}^{t}\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}
ho\|_{L^{2}}\leq\|\dot{\Delta}_{j}\dot{\Delta}_{q}^{h}
ho_{in}\|_{L^{2}}$$

Then, for any  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , multiplying by  $2^{js_1}2^{qs_2}$  and summing on  $j, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}(\dot{B}^{s_{1},s_{2}})} + \|\rho\|_{L^{1}_{T}(\dot{B}^{s_{1}-2,s_{2}+2})} \lesssim \|\rho_{in}\|_{\dot{B}^{s_{1},s_{2}}}$$
(3)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

$$\|
abla \mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} 
ho\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2} 
ho\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|
ho\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}}.$$

One can now easily find regularity indices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  so  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$  is bounded by the initial data.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}}.$$

One can now easily find regularity indices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  so  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$  is bounded by the initial data.

Indeed, since we have  $\|\rho\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{s_1-2,s_2+2})} \le \|\rho_{in}\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}}$ , it suffices to choose:

$$s_1 = \frac{3}{2}$$
  $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > ○ < ○

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{R}_{1}^{2}\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}_{2,1}} \lesssim \|\rho\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}_{2,1}}.$$

One can now easily find regularity indices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  so  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$  is bounded by the initial data.

Indeed, since we have  $\|\rho\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{s_1-2,s_2+2})} \le \|\rho_{in}\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1,s_2}}$ , it suffices to choose:

$$s_1 = \frac{3}{2}$$
  $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

• And to deal with the nonlinearities, we develop new product laws, adapted to this anisotropic framework, and absorb them by the linear left-hand side side.

 Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

э.

- Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.
- Still, one can close the estimates in Sobolev space without losing derivatives provided that we have the bound  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.
- Still, one can close the estimates in Sobolev space without losing derivatives provided that we have the bound  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .
- Therefore, additionally to our anisotropic analysis, we need to perform Sobolev estimates at one regularity higher than the Besov indexes so as to absorb high-order nonlinearities in the Besov analysis.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

- Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.
- Still, one can close the estimates in Sobolev space without losing derivatives provided that we have the bound  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .
- Therefore, additionally to our anisotropic analysis, we need to perform Sobolev estimates at one regularity higher than the Besov indexes so as to absorb high-order nonlinearities in the Besov analysis.

 $\rightarrow$  Reason why s > 3 in our work, even though we only involve "2 derivatives" in our Besov framework.

・ ロ ト ・ 何 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト - -

э.

- Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.
- Still, one can close the estimates in Sobolev space without losing derivatives provided that we have the bound  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .
- Therefore, additionally to our anisotropic analysis, we need to perform Sobolev estimates at one regularity higher than the Besov indexes so as to absorb high-order nonlinearities in the Besov analysis.

 $\rightarrow$  Reason why s > 3 in our work, even though we only involve "2 derivatives" in our Besov framework.

Open question: Global well-posedness in the case  $s_1 + s_2 = 2$  or s > 2?

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト・

э.

- Nevertheless, we are not able to close the estimates in this anisotropic setting. This is due to a lack of commutator estimates adapted to this double localisation, which engenders a loss of one derivative.
- Still, one can close the estimates in Sobolev space without losing derivatives provided that we have the bound  $\int_0^t \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_1^2 \rho\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .
- Therefore, additionally to our anisotropic analysis, we need to perform Sobolev estimates at one regularity higher than the Besov indexes so as to absorb high-order nonlinearities in the Besov analysis.

 $\rightarrow$  Reason why s > 3 in our work, even though we only involve "2 derivatives" in our Besov framework.

Open question: Global well-posedness in the case  $s_1 + s_2 = 2$  or s > 2?

• For initial data **below**  $H^2$ , Kiselev and Yao ('22) proved the time-growth of the norm  $\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\|_{H^{s'}}$  for any  $s' \ge 1$  and any stratified smooth steady state  $\bar{\rho}$  arbitrarily close to the solution, in the bounded strip  $\mathbb{T} \times [-\pi, \pi]$ .

・ コット うちょう マルマン しょうしょう

# Relaxation approximation of (IPM)

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

# 2D Boussinesq system

The two-dimensional Boussinesq system reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \eta \mathbf{g}, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (0, -g), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(E)

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

#### 2D Boussinesq system

The two-dimensional Boussinesq system reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \eta \mathbf{g}, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (0, -g), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(E)

Considering a damping in the equation of the vorticity and linearizing around the same linear steady states as before, it is shown by Bianchini and Natalini that (E) can be recast into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1 \Omega = (\mathcal{R}_2 \Omega, -\mathcal{R}_1 \Omega) \cdot (\nabla b), \\ \partial_t \Omega - \mathcal{R}_1 b + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} - = \Lambda^{-1} [(\mathcal{R}_2 \Omega, -\mathcal{R}_1 \Omega) \cdot (\nabla \Lambda \Omega)], \end{cases}$$
(2D-B)

with  $\Omega = \Lambda^{-1} \omega$  where  $\omega$  is the vorticity.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

### 2D Boussinesq system

The two-dimensional Boussinesq system reads

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta = 0, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \eta \mathbf{g}, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (0, -g), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(E)

Considering a damping in the equation of the vorticity and linearizing around the same linear steady states as before, it is shown by Bianchini and Natalini that (E) can be recast into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1 \Omega = (\mathcal{R}_2 \Omega, -\mathcal{R}_1 \Omega) \cdot (\nabla b), \\ \partial_t \Omega - \mathcal{R}_1 b + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} - = \Lambda^{-1} [(\mathcal{R}_2 \Omega, -\mathcal{R}_1 \Omega) \cdot (\nabla \Lambda \Omega)], \end{cases}$$
(2D-B)

with  $\Omega = \Lambda^{-1}\omega$  where  $\omega$  is the vorticity. For this system:

- Wan (19') proved the global well-posedness in  $H^s$  with  $s \ge 5$ .
- Bianchini and Natalini (21') derived time-decay estimates in the same setting.
- Quid of  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ?

# Formal link between (IPM) and (2D-B)

Let us have a closer look at the linear structure:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1 \Omega = 0, \\ \partial_t \Omega - \mathcal{R}_1 b + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

イロン イヨン イヨン -

# Formal link between (IPM) and (2D-B)

Let us have a closer look at the linear structure:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1 \Omega = 0, \\ \partial_t \Omega - \mathcal{R}_1 b + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Taking inspiration from the theory of partially dissipative systems, in the following "diffusive" scaling:

$$(\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon})(\tau, x) \triangleq (b, \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon})(t, x) \text{ with } \tau = \varepsilon t,$$
 (5)

the system (2D-B), in the scaled unknowns ( $\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}$ ), reads:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} + \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

## Formal link between (IPM) and (2D-B)

Let us have a closer look at the linear structure:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1 \Omega = 0, \\ \partial_t \Omega - \mathcal{R}_1 b + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Taking inspiration from the theory of partially dissipative systems, in the following "diffusive" scaling:

$$(\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon})(\tau, x) \triangleq (b, \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon})(t, x) \text{ with } \tau = \varepsilon t,$$
 (5)

the system (2D-B), in the scaled unknowns ( $\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}$ ), reads:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} + \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

Formally, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the second equation gives the Darcy's law  $\tilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{R}_1 \tilde{b}^{\varepsilon}$  and inserting it in the first one gives the linear part of the incompressible porous media equation:

$$\partial_t \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} - \mathcal{R}_1^2 \widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

#### Theorem (Bianchini-CB-Paicu ('22))

Let  $(\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon})$  be the unique solution of (2D-B) associated to  $(b_{\mathrm{in}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{in}}) \in H^{3^+}$ . Then, for any 0 < s' < s and  $0 < \tau < \tau' < 1$ , as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} 
ightarrow 
ho$$
 strongly in  $C([0,T],\dot{H}^{1- au'}_{loc}\cap\dot{H}^{s-s'}_{loc}),$ 

where  $\rho$  is the unique solution of (IPM) associated to the initial data  $b_{in}$ . Moreover, we recover the Darcy law in the following sense:

$$\|\widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}_{T}(B^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\cap B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})}\leq \varepsilon\mathcal{M}(0).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Theorem (Bianchini-CB-Paicu ('22))

Let  $(\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon})$  be the unique solution of (2D-B) associated to  $(b_{\mathrm{in}}, \Omega_{in}) \in H^{3^+}$ . Then, for any 0 < s' < s and  $0 < \tau < \tau' < 1$ , as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon} 
ightarrow 
ho$$
 strongly in  $C([0, T], \dot{H}^{1- au'}_{loc} \cap \dot{H}^{s-s'}_{loc}),$ 

where  $\rho$  is the unique solution of (IPM) associated to the initial data  $b_{in}$ .

Moreover, we recover the Darcy law in the following sense:

$$\|\widetilde{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\widetilde{b}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}_{T}(B^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\cap B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \varepsilon \mathcal{M}(0).$$

Proof: follows from uniform estimates established for the system (2D-B). Again, we extract crucial a priori bounds for the solution thanks to the use of anisotropic Besov spaces.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

≡ ∽ へ (~

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

• Such relaxation procedure is in analogy with the standard hyperbolic approximation in the context of the infinite speed of propagation paradox:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{\rho}^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div} \widetilde{u}^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{u}^\varepsilon + \nabla \widetilde{\rho}^\varepsilon + \widetilde{u}^\varepsilon = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{\varepsilon \to 0} \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho - \Delta \rho = 0, \\ u = -\nabla \rho. \end{cases}$$

where, in a sense, the Laplacian operator is approximated by a first-order coupling.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

= nar

• Such relaxation procedure is in analogy with the standard hyperbolic approximation in the context of the infinite speed of propagation paradox:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{\rho^{\varepsilon}} + \operatorname{div} \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} + \nabla \widetilde{\rho^{\varepsilon}} + \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho - \Delta \rho = 0, \\ u = -\nabla \rho. \end{cases}$$

where, in a sense, the Laplacian operator is approximated by a first-order coupling.

• This is also related to our recent work with Raphaël Danchin concerning the strong relaxation limit of the compressible Euler with damping to the porous media equation. (For which we derive a convergence rate in all dimensions)

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Such relaxation procedure is in analogy with the standard hyperbolic approximation in the context of the infinite speed of propagation paradox:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{\rho^{\varepsilon}} + \operatorname{div} \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} + \nabla \widetilde{\rho^{\varepsilon}} + \widetilde{u^{\varepsilon}} = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho - \Delta \rho = 0, \\ u = -\nabla \rho. \end{cases}$$

where, in a sense, the Laplacian operator is approximated by a first-order coupling.

• This is also related to our recent work with Raphaël Danchin concerning the strong relaxation limit of the compressible Euler with damping to the porous media equation. (For which we derive a convergence rate in all dimensions)

• Our analysis of (IPM) reveals that the operator  $\mathcal{R}_1^2$  can be approximated in a similar fashion:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{b}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{b}^\varepsilon + \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1^2 b = 0, \\ \Omega = -\mathcal{R}_1 b. \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト・

• Such relaxation procedure is in analogy with the standard hyperbolic approximation in the context of the infinite speed of propagation paradox:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\rho}^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div} \tilde{u}^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \nabla \tilde{\rho}^\varepsilon + \tilde{u}^\varepsilon = 0 \end{cases} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{\varepsilon} \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho - \Delta \rho = 0, \\ u = -\nabla \rho. \end{cases}$$

where, in a sense, the Laplacian operator is approximated by a first-order coupling.

• This is also related to our recent work with Raphaël Danchin concerning the strong relaxation limit of the compressible Euler with damping to the porous media equation. (For which we derive a convergence rate in all dimensions)

• Our analysis of (IPM) reveals that the operator  $\mathcal{R}_1^2$  can be approximated in a similar fashion:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widetilde{b}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{0}, \\ \varepsilon^2 \partial_t \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{R}_1 \widetilde{b}^\varepsilon + \widetilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{0} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \\ \end{cases} \begin{cases} \partial_t b - \mathcal{R}_1^2 b = \mathbf{0}, \\ \Omega = -\mathcal{R}_1 b. \end{cases}$$

Future researches:

- Explicit convergence rate?
- What about more general operators?
- Which nonlinearities we can handle for general operators.

# Thank you for your attention.

< E

3 x 3

#### Lemma (Embedding in Sobolev space)

Let  $s_1, s_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau_1 < s_1 + s_2 < \tau_2$  and  $s_2 > 0$ . If  $a \in \dot{H}^{\tau_1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^{\tau_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $a \in B^{s_1, s_2}$ , then

 $\|a\|_{B^{s_1,s_2}} \lesssim \|a\|_{B^{s_1+s_2}} \lesssim \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{\tau_1}} + \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{\tau_2}}.$